

7-07 9 November 2007

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

Date Due for co	10 October 2007 mpletion of Administrative Assessmer ative Assessment Completed: 30 Octob	
Applicant: Syngenta		Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:
Title: Food derived from Insect-protected Corn Line MIR162 Brief Description of Application: To approve food derived from corn genetically modified to provide resistance to Lepidopteran species.		
Procedure: General Reasons why: This is an application for a variation allowing a food that is not currently permitted, granting a permission involving a pre-market safety assessment similar to a previous assessment. FSANZ has previously favourably assessed both of the novel proteins present in GM corn MIR162 (Vip3A and PMI), and has assessed numerous GM corns.	Cost Category (General Procedure): Up to 500 hours Reasons why: This assessment is anticipated to involve an assessment of the risk to public health and safety of average complexity.	Estimated start work: 4 th Qtr 2007

DECISION

Application accepted
Date: 30 October 2007

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

What documents are affected?

Has the Applicant provided justification for Confidential Commercial Information status?

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

If so, has the Applicant made an election to have FSANZ give public notice calling for submissions under s.51 of the FSANZ Act?

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

Details:

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes ✓ No

If yes, indicate the reason:

The applicant has declared that the application will have exclusive capturable commercial benefit for Syngenta.

If yes, indicate due date for fees to be paid (20 business days after notification of Admin Assess made). Note that if fees are not received by this date, the Application is automatically rejected.

Due date for fees: 28 November 2007 (fees received 5 November 2007)

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes ✓ No Not known

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guideline/s within the Part 3 of the Application Handbook apply to this Application:

3.5.1

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Is the checklist completed?

Yes ✓ (old checklist) No

What information is not provided?

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

 Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No ✓

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes ✓ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure: General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy:

Proposed length of public consultation period:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

3

(intensive, narrower focus)

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: mid November 2008

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start)

Completion of Assessment

Public comment

Board to complete Approval

Notification to Ministerial Council

Anticipated gazettal if no review requested

end November 2007 early April 2008

mid April – late May 2008

end August 2008 (possibly to FSANZ32

in late July 2008) mid September 2008

end November 2008